

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/749,752		AKIMOTO, TAIZO	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Jeanine A Goldberg		1634	

All Participants:

(1) Jeanine A Goldberg.

(2) Cameron Beddard.

Date of Interview: 3 December 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to explain that upon reviewing the application with her supervisor that the language in the last sentence of Claim 8 does not impart any structural limitations on the system, as claimed. Thus, another office action reinstating the original references and rejections is appropriate. .